

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,644	10/27/2000	Gopalan Raman	10002988-1	6504
7	590 05/03/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O.Box 272400		MPANY	WILLIAMS	, KEVIN D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CO 80527-2400		2854	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ	<u>1</u> .	ı
w.	ГW	1

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/698,644	RAMAN, GOPALAN	I
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin D. Williams	2854	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN Contraction of the second representation of the second representati	nis application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) of	or b)]
 a)	n the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition use have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months attimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension od for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed wit 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or	r search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal issues for appeal; and/or	al by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has b application in condition for allowance because:	een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed straised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be ent explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 10-20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved or b)	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	er No(s)
10. Other:	and Helphy
	ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the language added to claim 1, particularly "the identification indicia is derived from the secure image" raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search.